

IN THE UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PATENT APPLICATION

Application No.: **10/815,430** Confirmation No.: **7637**
Applicant: **Plow et al.** Filed: **March 31, 2004**
Art Unit: **2179** Examiner: **Nicholas Augustine**
Docket No.: **SVL920030143US1** Customer No.: **55070**
Title: **Dynamic Materialization of Disappearing Entities**

Mail Stop RCE
Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

APPLICANT SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW WITH EXAMINER

S I R:

Applicants' representative, Janet M. Skafar, thanks Examiner Nicholas Augustine for the telephonic Examiner Interview on March 20, 2008. During the interview, the rejection of Claim 1 as being anticipated by the Graham patent (U.S. Patent No. 7228492) was discussed. The Examiner clarified the rejection of Claim 1.

Applicants' representative generally argued that the Graham patent does not teach all the recitations of Claim 1. The Examiner proposed that Claim 1 be amended to clarify the dynamic aspect of the invention. No agreement was reached.

Respectfully submitted,

March 26, 2008



Janet M. Skafar, Attorney
Reg. No. 41,315
Correspondence Customer No. 55070
Telephone: (650)988-0655
Facsimile: (408) 463-4827